

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,099	06/27/2003	Scott A. Bosman	2268-01042	9231
7590 04/22/2004			EXAMINER	
ANDREW S. McCONNELL			BROWN, PETER R	
Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C. Suite 1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
250 East Wisconsin Avenue			3636	
Milwaukee, WI 53202			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\(\sigma^{\sigma}\)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/609,099	BOSMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · ·	Peter R. Brown	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 32-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawl 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 32-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or or	n from consideration.	0	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept accept Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See n is required if the drawing(s) is obie	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		The state of the s	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign properties a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents recognized the priority documents recognized the priority documents recognized the priority documents recognized the priority application from the International Bureau (International Bureau (In	nave been received. have been received in Application documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	· •	

Application/Control Number: 10/609,099

Art Unit: 3636

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim, in setting forth the "first" and "second" edges, not only lacks antecedent basis, but as a whole, is confusing and unclear.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32-35 and 37, as far as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wais.

Figures 1-3 show structure as claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wais in view of Rinaldi.

Regarding claim 36, Rinaldi shows a chair with a C-shaped base, and to have used such a chair with the desk of Wais, would have been obvious to one with

Art Unit: 3636

ordinary skill in the art. Simply placing the seat support of the chair onto the desk surface of Wais would have been a common sense way to stack the two items together, so as to save space, and would have been well within the level of skill in the art.

In regards to claim 38, the stacking of the desks of Wais, as shown in figure 1, would have encompassed the method steps as set forth.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kipp, Schier, Cartwright, Jacques, Koett, Smith, Liang et al show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2103. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636